Review Form Response

Review FOSS4G Academic Track Submission

This form is for reviewing a FOSS4G Academic Track Submission.

Remarks to the editors *

> This paper is interesting but is lacking some sections. If reworked properly, it can be a
> good contribution to the Academic Track.

Remarks to the author

> This is an interesting contribution that targets the "use of open source geospatial software
> and data, in and for scientific research" topic.
>
> However, this contribution appears as a description of a collection of tools, and this is a bit
> confusing for the reader to capture what is really innovative and how these different projects
> relate to each other.
>
> - Introduction and Discussion sections are missing.
> - What are the benefits of using Open solutions?
> - What are the problems authors encountered while implementing Open solutions?
> - Do they have recommendations when implementing a mix of open/proprietary solutions?
> - Please develop acronyms properly the first time they are mentioned.
> - How Users have reacted when using Open solutions (e.g., feedbacks)?
> - What are the future plans and challenges authors foresee with the solutions they
> implemented?
> - A paragraph on NZ legal framework would be nice to have. And please better explain the
> context of Open Source in NZ.
> - Page 3, what are the "spatial data interoperability needs" of NIWA?
> - NIWA Quantum Map is a really interesting contribution. I recommend the authors to extend
> this section.
> - In the title, authors are mentioning "Open Data". However, in the paper it is not clear what
> they intend by "Open Data" and how they make them available. What are the benefits?

Recommendation *

- ◯ Strong Accept and recommendation for inclusion in Transactions in GIS
- ◯ Strong Accept
- ◉ Weak Accept
- ◯ Reject

Close

* Denotes required field